



4th Asia-Pacific Conference on Plasma Physics, 26-31 Oct, 2020, Remote e-conference

Analysis of multiscale electron heat transport in JET, AUG and TCV plasmas, confronting experiments with gyrokinetic simulations and reduced models

A. Mariani¹, N. Bonanomi², P. Mantica³, C. Angioni², F.J. Casson⁴, J. Citrin⁵, T. Goerler⁶, D. Keeling⁴, E. Lerche⁷, O. Sauter⁸, M. Sertoli⁴, G. Staebler⁹, D. Taylor⁹, A. Thorman⁴, Eurofusion JET1 contributors⁹, Eurofusion MST1 contributors⁹, ASDEX Upgrade team⁹, TCV team⁷ and ITPA transport & confinement group

¹Institute of Plasma Science and Technology, CNR, 20125 Milano, Italy, ²Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Garching, Germany, ³DIFFER—Dutch Institute for Fundamental Energy Research, Eindhoven, The Netherlands, ⁴CCFE, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, UK,

⁵LPP-ERM/KMS, TEC partner, 1000 Brussels, Belgium, ⁶École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Swiss Plasma Center (SPC), 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland, ⁷General Atomics, San Diego, CA 92186-5608, United States of America, ⁸See Joffrin et al. 2019

(<https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-4326/ab2276>), ⁹See Labit et al. 2019

(<https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-4326/ab2211>), ¹⁰See Meyer et al. 2019

(<https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-4326/ab18b8>), ¹¹See Coda et al. 2019

(<https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-4326/ab25cb>), ¹²See

<https://portal.iter.org/departments/POP/ITPA/TC/Pages/default.aspx>
e-mail (speaker): alberto.mariani@istp.cnr.it

Micro-turbulence driven by ion-scale ITG-TEM [1] instabilities is mostly considered the main driver of core transport in present tokamaks. In addition, it has been shown that electron-scale ETGs [2] can impact the electron heat transport, also exchanging energy with ITG-TEM turbulence by multi-scale coupling [3-9]. This could have an impact on devices like ITER, dominated by electron heating. ETG modes have been shown to play a role in plasmas with mixed ion and electron heating, with $T_e/T_i \sim 1$, which lowers the ETG threshold, and high central electron power increasing the T_e gradient, which is the ETG driver.

ETGs can be indirectly experimentally detected through the observation of an increase of the electron stiffness

$\partial q_{egB} / \partial R/L_{Te}$ (q_{egB} : gyro-Bohm normalized electron heat flux) at large values of the normalised T_e logarithmic gradient R/L_{Te} . Two methods can be used in conjunction to extract information on the threshold $R/L_{Te,crit}$ for the onset of turbulent transport and on the electron stiffness: normalized electron heat flux scans and/or RF power modulation. The experimental results can be compared with the output of gyrokinetic (GK) simulations, which are able to compute both $R/L_{Te,crit}$ (fast linear runs), and the dependence of the saturated heat flux on R/L_{Te} (more costly nonlinear runs). Resolving both ion and electron scales (i.e. performing nonlinear multi-scale simulations) is computationally very demanding and just became possible recently.

In order to explore a broad parameter region, different machines are under investigation, looking for ETG impact on electron heat transport, comparing experimental and numerical results, within the framework of EUROfusion and of the ITPA Transport & Confinement group. In this paper, the analysis of plasmas of three different tokamaks, i.e. the Joint European Torus (JET, at Culham, UK), ASDEX Upgrade (AUG, at Garching, DE) and the Tokamak à

Configuration Variable (TCV, at Lausanne, CH), is presented.

Dedicated plasma discharges have been performed, and the experimental results have been compared with GK simulations, including some multi-scale runs, using GENE [11] and GKW [12]. The reduced quasi-linear models TGLF [13] and QuaLiKiz [14] have also been tested. The results of the different tokamaks concur to make a general picture indicating that ETGs could also be important for electron heat transport in fusion relevant conditions, in particular when $T_e \sim T_i$ and in conditions where ion-scale turbulence is near marginality.

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014–2018 and 2019–2020 under grant agreement No. 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. This work was also conducted under the auspices of the ITPA Topical Group on Transport and Confinement. We acknowledge the CINECA award under the ISCRA initiative, for the availability of high performance computing resources and support.

References

- [1] W. Horton, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71, 735 (1999)
- [2] W. Dorland et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 5579 (2000)
- [3] N.T. Howard et al., Nucl. Fusion 56, 014004 (2016)
- [4] N. Bonanomi et al. Nucl. Fusion 58, 124003 (2018)
- [5] F. Ryter et al., Nucl. Fusion 59, 096052 (2019)
- [6] A. Mariani et al., Nucl. Fusion 59, 126017 (2019)
- [7] S. Maeyama et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 255002 (2015)
- [8] A. Marinoni et al., Nucl. Fusion 57, 126014 (2017)
- [9] C. Holland et al., Nucl. Fusion 57, 066043 (2017)
- [10] F. Jenko et al., Phys. Plasmas 8, 4096 (2001)
- [11] F. Jenko et al., Phys. Plasmas 7, 1904 (2000)
- [12] A. Peeters et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 180, 2650 (2009)
- [13] G.M. Staebler et al., Phys. Plasmas 23, 062518 (2016)
- [14] J. Citrin et al., Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 59, 124005 (2017)