



## Overview of small ELM studies on AUG and TCV

G. F. Harrer<sup>1</sup>, M. Faitsch<sup>2</sup>, E. Wolfrum<sup>2</sup>, A. Cathey<sup>2</sup>, M. Cavedon<sup>2</sup>, P. David<sup>2</sup>, M. G. Dunne<sup>2</sup>, T. Eich<sup>2</sup>, R. Fischer<sup>2</sup>, M. Griener<sup>2</sup>, P. Hennequin<sup>3</sup>, B. Labit<sup>4</sup>, P. Manz<sup>2</sup>, L. Radovanovic<sup>1</sup>, F. Aumayr<sup>1</sup>, the ASDEX Upgrade Team<sup>5</sup>, the TCV Team<sup>6</sup> and the Eurofusion MST1 Team<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Institute of Applied Physics, TU Wien, Fusion@ÖAW, Vienna, Austria*

<sup>2</sup> *Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics, Garching, Germany*

<sup>3</sup> *Laboratoire de Physique des Plasmas, CNRS, Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau, France*

<sup>4</sup> *Swiss Plasma Center, EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland*

<sup>5</sup> *see the author list of H. Meyer et al. 2019 Nucl. Fusion 59 112014*

<sup>6</sup> *see the author list of S. Coda et al. 2019 Nucl. Fusion 59 112023*

<sup>7</sup> *see the author list of B. Labit et al. 2019 Nucl. Fusion 59 0860020*

In medium sized Tokamaks like AUG and TCV it is not possible to achieve ITER relevant pedestal and separatrix conditions simultaneously. At the pedestal top future fusion devices will have a very low collisionality  $v_{e,\text{pedtop}}^* \propto n_e/T_e^2 \sim 0.06$ , due to the expected high temperature. For efficient power exhaust the collisionality at the very edge should be high,  $v_{e,\text{sep}}^* \sim 12$ , due to the expected high separatrix density [1].

While discharges matching the ITER pedestal top collisionality coincide with unbearably large type I Edge Localized Modes (ELMs) [2] (without magnetic perturbations), experiments with high separatrix collisionalities in ASDEX Upgrade [3], TCV [4] and EAST [5], exhibit small ELMs. To keep good confinement these plasmas need to be highly shaped, i.e. high triangularity, high elongation and close to double null.

In the experiment, large type-I ELMs and small ELMs can coexist. Therefore in addition to the peeling-ballooning model that is used to explain type I ELMs, small ELMs have been described by a ballooning model, as they are located close to the separatrix, driven by the pressure gradient and stabilized by magnetic shear [6]. This work will further explore this shear dependence with ideal ballooning stability analyses using HELENA [7] and discuss the importance of diamagnetic stabilisation using non linear calculations from the JOREK [8] code. Additionally the newest experimental results of the small ELM research performed

at AUG and TCV will be shown. This will include scans of different plasma shapes to influence the magnetic and the  $E \times B$  shear as well as filament studies with focus on size and frequency and their influence on the target heat flux. The manifestation of the small ELMs at ITER-like separatrix conditions as filamentary transport rather than large bursts offers a possible route to tolerable heat loads at high pedestal top pressure in future fusion devices. Finally first results of discharges showing a plasma startup directly into a small ELM regime, completely avoiding type I ELMs, will further display the practicality of the small ELM scenario.

corresponding author: harrer@iap.tuwien.ac.at

### References

- [1] M. Wischmeier et al. 2015 Journal of Nuclear Materials **463** 22-29
- [2] A. Loarte et al. 2003 Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion **45** 1549
- [3] G.F. Harrer et al. 2018 Nuclear Fusion **58** 112001
- [4] B. Labit et al 2019 Nucl. Fusion **59** 086020
- [5] G.S. Xu et al. 2019 Phys. Rev. Lett. **122** 255001
- [6] G.F. Harrer et al. 2019 EPS Conference on Plasma Physics
- [7] G.T.A. Huysmans et al. 1991 Proc. Int. Conf. on Comp. Phys. **p 371**
- [8] G.T.A. Huysmans, O Czarny. 2007 Nuclear Fusion **47** 659